1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC
3	Marichelle S. Tahimic Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 147392
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
	Telephone: (619) 645-3154
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	<u> </u>
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2011-7141
12	LIUDMILA VALENTINOVNA MAST 1465 E. Lexington Avenue, Unit 15 D
13	El Cajon, CA 92019
14	Registered Nurse License No. 663797 ACCUSATION
` [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	Respondent.
15 16	Respondent.
	Complainant alleges:
16	
16 17	Complainant alleges:
16 17 18	Complainant alleges: PARTIES
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about August 18, 2005, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about August 18, 2005, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered Nurse License Number 663797 to Liudmila Valentinovna Mast (Respondent). The Registered
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about August 18, 2005, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered Nurse License Number 663797 to Liudmila Valentinovna Mast (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about August 18, 2005, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered Nurse License Number 663797 to Liudmila Valentinovna Mast (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2013, unless renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about August 18, 2005, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered Nurse License Number 663797 to Liudmila Valentinovna Mast (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2013, unless renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Complainant alleges: PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about August 18, 2005, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered Nurse License Number 663797 to Liudmila Valentinovna Mast (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2013, unless renewed. ///

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.
- 6. Section 2811(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS

7. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions....
- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, states:

As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'gross negligence' includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life.

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, states:

As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of or the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse as described in Section 1443.5.

drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022. Percocet is a combination of a narcotic and an analgesic/antipyretic used to treat moderate to moderately severe pain.

3

2

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

111

111

28

FACTS

- 14. Patient M.K., an 83-year old woman, was admitted to Sharp Grossmont Hospital for surgery at 0730 hours on April 22, 2008. M.K. underwent an abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophrectomy (removal of the ovaries and Fallopian tubes) and tolerated the procedure well. M.K. was returned to the recovery room in satisfactory condition. Post-operative orders dated April 22, 2008 stated that M.K. was to follow duramorph post-operative pain orders then was to receive Demerol, 100 mg IM (intramuscular) every 3 hours as needed for severe pain; Percocet 5/325, tablets by mouth every 3 hours as needed for moderate pain; and, Percocet 5/325, 1 tablet by mouth every 3 hours as need for mild pain. Respondent cared for M.K. on the morning of April 23, 2008. Other nurses cared for M.K. immediately after surgery on April 22, 2008. M.K. was given Percocet for pain. Respondent gave M.K. Percocet for pain at about 0530 hours on April 23, 2008. According to Medication Administration Records for M.K., the first post-operative dose of Demerol was given to M.K. at about 1500 hours on April 23, 2008 by Nurse A.D. and the next dose was administered by Respondent at about 1945 hours on the same day. Respondent admitted that she administered Demerol 100 mg intravenously instead of intramuscularly. Approximately 10 minutes after administering Demerol to M.K., Respondent admitted that she just walked by M.K.'s room and saw that she was asleep and breathing.
- 15. At approximately 2044 hours on April 23, 2008, while under the care of Respondent, M.K. was found not breathing and without a pulse and a code blue was called. M.K. was transported to the intensive care unit where resuscitation measures were initiated. However, M.K.'s condition worsened overnight and her family decided to stop further treatment pursuant to M.K.'s advance directive. M.K. expired at 0749 on April 24, 2008. M.K.'s diagnoses at death was "refractory hypotension, duration 12 hours, due to: severe ischemia [decrease in blood supply] caused by a cardiopulmonary arrest of unknown duration, duration 12 hours."

28.

- 16. Respondent was given a written warning for unsatisfactory performance dated May 23, 2008 for administering medication via the wrong route on April 23, 2008 and for failing to chart her reassessment of the patient after administration of Demerol.
- 17. In addition, Respondent failed to consider the patient's age and dosage before administering Demerol intravenously. Intravenous administration of Demerol should be reduced from intramuscular or oral doses, diluted in 5 ml or more of sterile water or saline and given over 4-5 minutes. Respondent did not reduce the Demerol dose from the intramuscular dose ordered prior to administering it to M.K.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Gross Negligence)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761(a)(1) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent was grossly negligent for failing to follow the "5 Rights of Medication Administration", which are 1) the right patient, 2) the right drug, 3) the right dose, 4) the right route and 5) the right time, in that Respondent administered Demerol to patient M.K. via the wrong route and the wrong dose as more fully set forth in paragraphs 14-17 above, and incorporated by this reference as though set forth in full herein. Respondent's conduct was an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse and that Respondent knew or should have known that such a departure could have jeopardized the patient's health or life.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Incompetence)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761(a)(1) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent lacked possession of, or failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse in that Respondent administered Demerol to patient M.K. via the wrong route and the wrong dose and failed to reassess the patient following administration of Demerol, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 14-17 above, and incorporated by this reference as though set forth in full herein.

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 2 and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision: 3 Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 663797, issued to 1. 4 Liudmila Valentinovna Mast; 5 2. Ordering Liudmila Valentinovna Mast to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the 6 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and 7 Professions Code section 125.3; 8 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 9 10 11 DATED: 12 **Executive Officer** 13 Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs 14 State of California Complainant 15 16 SD2010703208 80467818.docx 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28